

Extended supersymmetry in $D=1+1$

R. Amorim^{*} and J. Barcelos-Neto[†]

Instituto de Física

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

RJ 21945-970 - Caixa Postal 68528 - Brasil

Abstract

We extend superspace by introducing an antissymmetric tensorial coordinate. The resulting theory presents a supersymmetry with central charge. After integrating over the tensorial coordinate, an effective action describing massive bosons and fermions is explicitly derived for the spacetime dimension $D = 2$. The adopted procedure is simpler than the Kaluza-Klein one and can suggest an alternative for string compactifications.

PACS: 11.10.Ef, 11.10.Kk, 11.30.Pb

^{*}e-mail: amorim@if.ufrj.br

[†]e-mail: barcelos@if.ufrj.br

With the advent of strings we may conclude that fundamental physical theories should be formulated in a spacetime dimension higher than four, say $D = 10$ or $D = 11$. After some compactification mechanism, we reach the real world in four dimensions. The main problem of this idea is that there is a large number of ways that compactifications can be done, each one corresponding to a different effective theory. Consequently, it is not clear what the fundamental theory should be.

There is another manner to put forward the interpretation that strings see, or apparently see, spacetime with more dimensions than four. Reporting back to bosonic strings, we know the spacetime they see has dimension 26. However, when one considers the spacetime also containing fermionic degrees of freedom (supersymmetry) its critical dimension goes down to 10. Keeping this line of reasoning, we could consider that superspace has more degrees of freedom than vector bosons and fermions in order to obtain a lower critical spacetime dimension. Indeed, in the case of spinning strings, when one introduces antisymmetric tensor degrees of freedom, we verify that critical dimension is just four [1]. If we do the same for superstrings, we find quantization more subtle, but we can show that supersymmetry is only achieved also for $D = 4$ [2]. We then emphasize that spacetime dimension can be actually four, but the corresponding superspace contains more degrees of freedom than the usual bosons and fermions. We stress that this procedure is different from the Kaluza-Klein one [3], where the initial spacetime dimension is higher than the final one after compactification. As symmetry groups strongly depend on D , Kaluza-Klein theories have to deal with the symmetry reduction in the compactification procedure. In our approach, extra coordinates are introduced in an extended superspace constructed over the usual spacetime (which is not compactified). Consequently, its symmetry group structure is not modified anytime.

Considering that there is some parallelism between fundamental fields and coordinates of superspace, the consistency of these ideas leads us to argue if antisymmetric tensors fields might also exist as fundamental fields. In fact, we mention that the interest for these fields dates back almost thirty years ago, starting from the work by Kalb and Ramond [4], where antisymmetric tensor fields were introduced as gauge fields that carry the force among string interactions. Regardless if this fact is true or not, this interest has been increasing since then. One of the reasons is due to its particular structure of constraints, related to the reducibility condition. As a consequence, the quantization of tensor theories deserves some additional care when compared with the usual case of gauge theories of rank one [5]. We also mention that antisymmetric tensor fields appear as one of the massless solutions of modern string theories (even when tensor coordinates are not introduced), in company of photons, gravitons, etc. [6]. Another interesting feature of tensor fields is to provide a possible mechanism of mass generation for gauge fields. This occurs when they are coupled in a topological way to vector gauge fields [7, 8].

Our purpose in this letter is to study with details an extension of the supersymmetric theory when antisymmetric tensor coordinates are included. We show that a consistent extension of the supersymmetric generator is attained by coupling the independent matrix $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ with the translational generator in the tensorial sector. Although this procedure is formally independent of the spacetime dimension, we

only develop the particular case of $D = 2$, due to its simplicity, by using the scalar superfield formulation. This leads to a off-shell linear realization of supersymmetry with central charge [9].

In the usual superfield language, fermionic coordinates are integrated out to obtain a supersymmetric invariant action based on the usual spacetime coordinates. We do the same with respect to the tensor coordinate and discuss the effective action which is obtained. We left the four dimensional case for a future work, specially the gauge sector, where algebraic complexities are much bigger [10].

Let us first present an extension of the usual supersymmetry in an arbitrary spacetime dimension D by taking an enlarged superspace given by $(x^\mu, x^{\mu\nu}, \theta_\alpha)$, where $x^{\mu\nu}$ is an independent antisymmetric tensor coordinate. The physical meaning of such tensor coordinates may not be evident, but one can think that, as in the usual fermionic case, they are introduced in order to construct extended supersymmetric multiplets. We postulate that the extended supersymmetry transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta x^{\mu\nu} &= i \bar{\xi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \theta \\ \delta x^\mu &= i \bar{\xi} \gamma^\mu \theta \\ \delta \theta_\alpha &= \xi_\alpha\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where θ is a Majorana spinor (see Appendix for details of the convention and notation, as well as some identities, we are going to use in this paper) and ξ is the characteristic parameter of the supersymmetry transformation (also a Majora spinor).

The charge generator for the transformations above is

$$Q_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_\alpha} + i(\gamma^\mu \theta)_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{i}{2}(\sigma^{\mu\nu} \theta)_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu\nu}}\tag{2}$$

which leads to the extended supersymmetry algebra

$$\{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_\beta\} = -2i\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - i\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu\nu}}\tag{3}$$

characterizing an additional translation along the antisymmetric tensor “directions”.

These results are valid in any spacetime dimension, but from now on we are going to stay in $D = 2$ due to its simplicity. In this particular spacetime dimension, the charge generator and the supersymmetry algebra turn to be

$$Q_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_\alpha} + i(\gamma^\mu \theta)_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_5 \theta)_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\tag{4}$$

$$\{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_\beta\} = -2i\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \gamma_{5\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\tag{5}$$

where we have denoted by y the independent coordinate x^{01} . The part of Q_α with γ_5 leads to the central charge. So, antisymmetric coordinates constitute an alternative procedure of introducing central charge in linear supersymmetry [9] and gives it a well defined physical meaning.

Looking at the expressions for the charge operator and the supersymmetry algebra in $D = 2$, we could, at first sight, conclude that superspace in $D = 2$ with a tensor coordinate, is equivalent to the usual superspace in $D = 3$. This is nonetheless true because $y = x^{01}$, in $D = 2$, is a Lorentz invariant quantity. Consequently, it could not be identified with x^2 coordinate in $D = 3$.

Let us now write a supersymmetric Lagrangian for this extended supersymmetric transformation. The best way to do this is by starting from the superfield notation. The general form of a scalar and real superfield in $D = 2$ reads

$$\Phi = \phi + \bar{\theta}\psi + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\theta F \quad (6)$$

where the component fields ϕ , ψ and F depend on x^μ and y . The quantity Φ is actually a superfield if it transforms as

$$\delta\Phi = (\bar{\xi}Q)\Phi \quad (7)$$

which leads to the following transformations for the component fields (all of them real)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi &= \bar{\xi}\psi \\ \delta\psi_\alpha &= \xi_\alpha F - i(\gamma^\mu\xi)_\alpha \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_5\xi)_\alpha \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} \\ \delta F &= \bar{\xi}\left(-i\gamma^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \gamma_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\psi \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The simplest supersymmetric action with the superfield (6) reads

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int dx^2 dy d^2\theta \bar{D}\Phi D\Phi \quad (9)$$

where the derivative operator D_α , that anticommute with Q_α , is given by

$$D_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} - i(\gamma^\mu\theta)_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_5\theta)_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad (10)$$

In the usual case of supersymmetric theories, in superfield language, we integrate over the Grassmannian coordinates $\bar{\theta}_\alpha$ and θ_α to obtain the effective action in component fields. In the present case, we have also to integrate out the coordinate y . For the integration over the Grassmannian coordinates, we get

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x dy \left(i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma_5 \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_\mu} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} + F^2 \right) \quad (11)$$

One can easily verify that indeed Eq. (11) is invariant under transformations (8).

With respect the y -coordinate, we consider it is not an unlimited variable like x^μ , but defines a circle of radius R . A consistency for this hypothesis is related to the fact that y is an invariant quantity and, consequently, there is no problem related with Lorentz transformations. At this point we realize one of the problems of dealing with a spacetime of higher dimensions [10]. Let us consider the Fourier expansion

$$\phi^A(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n^A(x) \exp\left(2in\pi \frac{y}{R}\right) \quad (12)$$

where ϕ^A is representing any of the component fields ψ , ϕ , and F . Since all of the component fields are real, we have that the Fourier modes must satisfy the condition

$$\phi_n^A(x) = \phi_{-n}^{A*}(x) \quad (13)$$

Introducing the Fourier expansion given by (12) into expression (11) and performing the integration over y , we get an effective action in usual space-time spanned by the vector coordinate x^μ

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d^2x \left(i\bar{\psi}_n^* \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_n - i \frac{n\pi}{R} \bar{\psi}_n^* \gamma_5 \psi_n - \phi_n^* \square \phi_n - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{R^2} \phi_n^* \phi_n + F_n^* F_n \right) \quad (14)$$

It is interesting to note that for non zero modes both bosonic and fermionic fields components are massive. As it is well known, a massive Dirac fermion component satisfies the massive Klein-Gordon equation as a consequence of the iteration of Dirac equation. So, if $(i\partial + m)\psi = 0$, then $(i\partial + m)(i\partial - m)\psi = -(\square + m^2)\psi = 0$. In our case, the mode of order n with mass $m = n\pi/R$ satisfies the equation $(i\partial - im\gamma_5)\psi = 0$, which also implies under iteration that $(i\partial - im\gamma_5)^2\psi = -(\square + m^2)\psi = 0$. This is an expected result, since we verify that the equations of motion for the fermionic massive modes are just the usual Dirac equation with the Dirac matrices written with the aid of a similarity transformation given by the unitary matrix $U = \exp(i\frac{\pi}{4}\gamma_5)$.

The action above is invariant under

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\phi_n &= \bar{\xi}\psi_n \\
\delta\psi_n &= F_n\xi - i\partial_\mu\phi_n\gamma^\mu\xi + \frac{in\pi}{R}\phi_n\gamma_5\xi \\
\delta F_n &= -i\bar{\xi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_n + \frac{in\pi}{R}\bar{\xi}\gamma_5\psi_n
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Observe that the zero modes satisfy the usual supersymmetry, but extended supersymmetries depending on the mass parameters $n\pi/R$ arise when the other modes are taken in consideration. Although its unusual origin (superspace with tensor degrees of freedom), the effective theory describes an infinite set of bosons and fermions with the usual behavior in $D = 2$.

Acknowledgment: This work is supported in part by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - CNPq, Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos - FINEP, and Fundação Universitária José Bonifácio - FUJB (Brazilian Research Agencies).

Appendix

In this Appendix, we present the notation, convention and the main identities we use in the paper. The gamma matrices satisfy the usual relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} &= 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \\
\gamma^\mu &= \gamma^0\gamma_\mu\gamma^0
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

We adopt the metric convention $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag.}(1, -1)$ and the following representation for the gamma matrices

$$\gamma^0 = \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma^1 = i\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.2}$$

They also satisfy the relation

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = \eta^{\mu\nu}1 + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 \tag{A.3}$$

with the antisymmetric quantity $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ defined by $\epsilon^{01} = 1$ and

$$\gamma_5 = \gamma^0 \gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Before Fourier expansion, all the spinors that appear in the text are Majorana and in the Majorana representation, what means that they are real. Let us list below some of useful identities that the Majorana spinors satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi} \chi &= \bar{\chi} \psi \\ \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \chi &= -\bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \psi \\ \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \chi &= -\bar{\chi} \gamma_5 \psi \\ \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \chi &= -\bar{\chi} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \psi \\ \psi_\alpha \psi_\beta &= -\frac{1}{2} (\gamma^0)_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi} \psi \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

We also list some other relations involving derivatives and integrations over Berezin coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_\alpha} \bar{\theta}_\beta &= \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_\alpha} \theta_\beta \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_\alpha} (\bar{\theta} \theta) &= -2\bar{\theta}_\alpha \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_\alpha} (\bar{\theta} \theta) &= 2\theta_\alpha \\ d^2 \theta &\equiv \frac{1}{4} d\bar{\theta} d\theta \\ \int d^2 \theta \bar{\theta} \theta &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

References

- [1] R. Amorim and J. Barcelos-Neto, Z. Phys. C58 (1993)513.
- [2] R. Amorim and J. Barcelos-Neto, Z. Phys. C64 (1994)345.
- [3] T. Kaluza, Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math. K1 (1921) 966; O. Klein, Z. Phys. 37 (1926) 895. See also L. Castellani, R. D'Auria and P. Fré, *Supergravity and Superstrings – A Geometric Perspective* (World Scientific, 1991), and references therein.
- [4] M. Kalb and P. Ramond, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 2273.
- [5] See, for example, R.K. Kaul, Phys. Rev. D18 (1978) 1127. C.R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. D19 (1979) 2367; A. Lahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A8 (1993) 2403; J. Barcelos-Neto and M.B.D. Silva, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A10 (1995) 3759; Mod. Phys. Lett. A11 (1996) 515. R. Banerjee and E.C. Marino, Nucl. Phys. B507 (1997) 501; R. Banerjee and J. Barcelos-Neto, Ann. Phys. 265 (1998) 134. See also M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of gauge systems* (Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1992) and J. Gomis, J. París and S. Samuel, Phys. Rep. 259 (1995) 1, and references therein.
- [6] J. Maharana and J.H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B390 (1993) 3.
- [7] E. Cremmer and J. Scherk, Nucl Phys. B72 (1974) 117. See also, T.J. Allen, M.J. Bowick and A. Lahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A6 (1991) 559.
- [8] R. Amorim and J. Barcelos-Neto, Mod. Phys. Lett. A10 (1995) 917; J. Barcelos-Neto and S. Rabello, Z. Phys. C74 (1997) 7.
- [9] See for example P. Barbosa and V.O. Rivelles, Nucl. Phys. B271 (1985) 80, where this kind of realization is achieved in a non-linear way.
- [10] R. Amorim and J. Barcelos-Neto, work in progress.